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Warburg Effect - a Consequence or the Cause of Carcinogenesis?
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Abstract

Ever since its discovery (1924) the Warburg effect (aerobic glycolysis) remains an unresolved puzzle: why do aggressive cancer cells “prefer” to use the energetically highly inefficient method of burning the glucose at the cellular level? While in the course of the last 90 years several hypotheses have been suggested, to this date there is no clear explanation of this rather unusual effect. Even though it is commonly assumed that Warburg effect is a consequence of carcinogenesis, yet another hypothesis could be brought up that the cellular switch to aerobic glycolysis may represent the very point in time when a normal cell becomes cancerous. Furthermore, this switch may happen at the point where the fate of pyruvic acid is determined, caused by the inadequate supply of enzymes that promote citric as opposed to lactic acid cycle. Currently, few clinical observations, like low cancer incidence in Type I diabetes mellitus and increased cancer incidence in people on high carbohydrate diets might be called upon to support such hypothesis.
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Economy of cellular energy balance

The full oxidation of one glucose molecule (oxidative phosphorylation) within a cell in the presence of oxygen produces 38 molecules of adenosine-three-phosphate (ATP), which in turn represents the essential cellular fuel (Figure 1.a). The first step (Glycolysis, occurring in cytoplasm) of glucose cellular respiration produces only 2 ATP molecules and ends up with production of two molecules of Pyruvic acid. If a given cell has access to oxygen, the Pyruvic acid will be converted to Acetyl-coenzyme A, which enters the Krebs cycle (citric acid cycle, occurring within mitochondria) followed by the electron transport chain process (occurring on the inner mitochondrial membrane) that creates most of the ATP molecules. It is the very last step within electron transport chain that needs oxygen to collect the terminal electron from the last cytochrome (cyt a3) and become a nascent O2 to pick up 2 H+ and create one of the byproducts of aerobic cellular respiration - a water molecule. On the other hand, if the cells reside under hypoxic conditions, the pyruvic acid is not converted into acetyl-coenzyme A, but into a lactic acid - the process termed anaerobic cellular respiration (lactic acid cycle). In the latter case, the net energy balance is only two ATP molecules making the anaerobic glucose metabolism energetically highly inefficient process.

While the lack of oxygen at the cellular level can occur at times of excessive physical activity (resulting in subsequent muscle pain), it also represents a hallmark of highly invasive and fast-growing cancers [1]. As the cancer cells multiply, their fast multiplication rate outgrows the angiogenesis so much so that while the glucose access to fast-growing cells could be sufficient the lack of blood vessels disrupts the level of oxygen needed for the full glucose oxidation. Such a microscopic picture defines one of the fundamental properties of the cancer microenvironment that forces malignant cells to metabolize glucose through the lactic acid cycle.
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Looking at chemical equations between aerobic and anaerobic glucose metabolism (Figure 1) one may conclude that cancer cells (as well as healthy ones) under hypoxic condition would need 19 times higher uptake of glucose to maintain the same metabolic level as well-oxygenated cells. This would, in turn, mean that the appropriate quantitative analysis of FDG-based PET images could help in pinpointing hypoxic segments (by abundance only [2]) of the tumor by solely looking into very high uptake values, which would eventually be some 20 times greater than in well-oxygenated cancer cells. However, the isolation of the hypoxic target volumes is far from being that simple.

**Warburg effect, or aerobic glycolysis – hallmark of invasive cancers**

Apart from the fact that acute hypoxia in tumors develop as soon as one moves few hundred microns from the blood vessels, yet another important fact prevents FDG being an ideal hypoxia marker – the Warburg effect. Recently, interest in tumor metabolism has been revived partly as a result of the widespread clinical application of PET using FDG. FDG-based PET imaging has confirmed that most primary and metastatic cancers show a significant increase in the glucose uptake when compared to normal tissues.

**Full Glucose Oxidation**

1. **Glycolysis**
2. **Krebs Cycle**
3. **Electron Transport Chain**

**Aerobic Cellular Respiration**

\[
\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6 + 6 \text{O}_2 + 6 \text{ADP} + 6 \text{HPO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow 6 \text{CO}_2 + 6 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 36 \text{ATP}
\]

**Lack of Oxygen**

1. **Glycolysis**
2. **Lactic Acid Cycle**

**Anaerobic Cellular Respiration**

\[
\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6 + 6 \text{O}_2 + 38 \text{ADP} + 38 \text{HPO}_4^{2-},
2 \text{CH}_3\text{COCOOH} + 2 \text{ATP}
\]

*Figure 1: Glucose metabolism at the cellular level: a) full glucose oxidative phosphorylation; b) anaerobic glycolysis (lactic acid cycle).*
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Glycolysis involves the conversion of glucose to pyruvate and then to lactic acid, the waste product. In non-cancerous cells, mitochondria oxidize pyruvate to carbon dioxide and water in the presence of oxygen (Figure 2a), and the glycolytic reaction is inhibited (Pasteur Effect [3]). Conversion of glucose to lactic acid, even in the presence of oxygen is known as aerobic glycolysis (Figure 2b) or the Warburg effect [4, 5]. In one of his seminal papers [6], Warburg suggests that carcinogenesis is a two-step process. Cancer cells originate from normal cells by firstly encountering irreversible respiration injury. The second phase of cancer formation represents a long struggle for existence by the injured cells to maintain their structure, in which a part of the cells die from lack of energy while another part succeeds in replacing the irretrievably lost respiration energy by fermentation energy (from lactic acid cycle). Warburg’s initial hypothesis that cancer results from impaired mitochondrial metabolism has been shown to be incorrect, but the observation of augmented glycolysis in tumors, even in the presence of oxygen, has been continually proven [7]. While cancer cells do carry oxidative phosphorylation, the majority of glucose molecules taken by cancer cells (66%) are metabolized through fermentation [8], a process that is ten times faster than full glucose oxidation.

Contemporary explanation of the Warburg effect

In addition to being energetically highly inefficient process glycolysis (either anaerobic or aerobic), with its metabolic products (such as hydrogen ions), cause constant acidification of the extracellular space, which might result in increased local toxicity [9, 10]. Nevertheless, despite these drawbacks, cancer cells consistently progress towards the wasteful and potentially toxic glycolytic phenotype. Gatenby and Gillies [11] proposed that the consistent expression of up-regulated glycolysis is not accidental but represents a solution to the environmental growth constraints during tumor development. They suggest that increased glycolysis is an essential component of the malignant phenotype and, therefore, a hallmark of invasive cancers. Transport enzymes of the Glut and hexokinase families are up-regulated in tumor cells expressing the glycolytic phenotype, and the level of Glut-1 glucose transporter expression has been shown to correlate with [18F] FDG uptake in non-small cell lung cancer, for example [12].

Gatenby and Gillies [11] describe the concept of carcinogenesis as a process that occurs by cellular evolution implying that common characteristics of malignant phenotypes, such as upregulation of glycolysis, are the result of active selection processes. They further argue that upregulation of glycolysis is likely to be an adjustment to hypoxia developing as pre-malignant tissue grows gradually further away from their blood supply. Also, an augmented acid production from glycolysis upregulation leads to microenvironmental acidosis and requires further adjustments through somatic evolution to phenotypes resistant to acid-induced toxicity. Finally, they conclude that cell populations that emerge from this
evolutionary sequence have a compelling growth advantage, as they alter their environment through increased glycolysis in a way that is toxic to other phenotypes, but harmless to themselves.

To further support the attempt by Gatenby and Gillies [11] in explaining the cause of Warburg effect in aggressive tumors as a response to harsh environmental conditions, one might assume that cancer cells "know" a priori they will encounter severe conditions in the future. Consequently, they "decide" to switch their glucose metabolism to highly inefficient but the only possible (and highly toxic) metabolic pathway. To make their explanation more sound, Gatenby and Gillies [11] speculate that: "... intuitively, it would seem that the Darwinian forces prevailing during the somatic evolution of invasive cancers would select against a metabolic phenotype that is more than an order of magnitude less efficient than its competitors and that is environmentally poisonous. In other words, the accepted tenet of "survival of the fittest" would seem to generally favour populations with more efficient and sophisticated substrate metabolism." Consequently, they suggest that glycolytic phenotype in cancers is directly governed by the evolutive mechanisms, over the relatively short time frame during which tumors develop.

A new hypothesis

Figure 3 illustrates the very point within a cellular glucose metabolism where the fate of the Pyruvic acid is decided. In the presence of oxygen, the Pyruvic acid will be converted into acetyl group and attached to coenzyme A, a process mediated by the so-called Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex (PDC). The complex consists of three enzymes: pyruvate dehydrogenase, dihydrolipoyl transacytase, and dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase. On the other hand, conversion of pyruvic acid to lactic acid requires one enzyme only - lactate dehydrogenase (LDHA). From

![Diagram of metabolic pathways]

From the cellular kinetics point of view, one may ask a simple question: what is the probability that something goes wrong with either of the two possible metabolic pathways depicted in Figure 3?

Synthesis of three enzymes, needed to provide conversion of pyruvic acid to acetyl coenzyme A, even in the presence of oxygen will be (notably three times) more prone to the errors than a transcription of only one (competing) enzyme. It was reported that many human cancers have higher LDHA levels than normal tissues [13], but the correlation between oncogenes and glycolysis was poorly understood. The question could be then raised whether the Warburg effect is only a consequence, or could it be at the root of the very cause of carcinogenesis? A new hypothesis could be staged that the switch from aerobic cellular respiration to aerobic glycolysis leads to carcinogenesis, and the cell begins to develop the cancerous phenotype [14, 15] at the point where the fate of Pyruvic acid is decided. Such a switch could be governed by the lack of complete PDC (at least one of the enzymes is missing).

While at this very moment the question whether Warburg effect is a hen, or an egg remains at the level of pure hypothesis, several clinical observations might be called upon to support such proposition indirectly. While there is no apparent relation between Type 1 diabetes mellitus patients and incidence of cancer to this date [16], several publications argue that there could be a lower cancer rate in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes. In 2003, Zendehdel et al. [17] published results on cancer incidence in patients with Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus on a cohort of 29 187 patients, followed over a period of 30 years, during which they observed 355 incidences of cancer. Such a low frequency (1% over 30 years, or 0.04% per year) appears negligible when compared to 1.66 million cases of new cancer cases per year in the US (0.52% per year [18]). Pladys et al. [19] reported on the lower incidence of cancer death mortality in diabetic patients (6.7%, both Type 1 and 2) when compared to non-diabetic patients (13.4%) using a cohort of 39 811 patients with the end-stage renal disease. It might be argued that cells in diabetic patients (generally deprived of normal glucose uptake due to lacking insulin) become "trained" (to use rhetoric by Biagoslkonny [20]) by the microenvironment and well "prepared" as soon as glucose
becomes available. Once the glucose is phosphorylated by hexokinase and enters the glucose oxidation process, the cell is “prepared” not to waste the opportunity and gets the maximum out of the relatively “scars glucose supplies.” One could further argue that diabetic patient cells are making sure that the synthesis of the PDC is up and running flawlessly, to avoid wasteful pathway of cellular glucose metabolism.

On the other hand, despite a relatively small amount of data published it appears that the incidence of cancer is also correlated with the increased intake of carbohydrates [21, 22, 23]. One may argue that normal cells, exposed to increased supply of glucose would quickly switch towards the energetically inefficient pathway (lactic acid cycle) of burning glucose even in the presence of oxygen (Warburg effect) since the source of energy (glucose-ATP) are virtually inexhaustible. In addition, the ATP production via fermentation is much faster (as mentioned above), albeit highly inefficient, when compared to full oxydation. It is also of note that contrary to type 1 (insulin-dependent), patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus have higher probability for cancer incidence [24]. Yet another detail deserves attention: type 1 diabetes is commonly regarded as a juvenile-onset diabetes as it often begins in childhood while the type 2 diabetes was considered an adult-onset diabetes. However, type 2 diabetes is becoming increasingly common in children [25] who are more obese or overweight that could be correlated with carbohydrates rich diets. Finally, the possible triggering of carcinogenesis by aerobic glycolysis, accompanied by increased glucose uptake, can be further supported by studies demonstrating increased glucose uptake observed to coincide with the transition from premalignant lesions to invasive cancer [26, 27].

**Conclusion**

Unlike Warburg’s initial hypothesis that cancer cells metabolize glucose through aerobic glycolysis due to impaired mitochondrial function a new hypothesis was presented that the normal cell becomes cancerous at the point when it switches its glucose metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic glycolysis. The new hypothesis that Warburg effect corresponds to the very beginning of carcinogenesis might be supported by the eventual failure in synthesis of the PDC. Such failure could be mediated by one (or multiple) of the well-known carcinogenic factors in synergy with an excessive supply of glucose in carbohydrates rich diets.

The new hypothesis revolves around a point within cellular glucose oxidation at which the fate of pyruvic acid is decided. Several observations have been presented to support such hypothesis: lower incidence of cancer in insulin-dependent type 1 diabetes mellitus patients; increased cancer incidence in societies consuming high quantities of carbohydrates; and the increased probability of synthesis failure of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex consisting of three enzymes when compared to the synthesis of a single lactate dehydrogenase enzyme.

Contemporary agreement in explaining why tumor cells opt for aerobic glycolysis (Warburg effect) that is far less efficient than oxidative phosphorylation at producing ATP, is that it represents evolutionary adaptation to harsh microenvironmental conditions by using the carbon chains (from the lactic acid) as building blocks for synthesis of biomolecules (nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids), which are essential for cell proliferation. However, even the acceptance of the aerobic glycolysis being a more adequate glucose metabolism pathway for cancer cells, the question of a hen or an egg remains: is the Warburg effect just a consequence, or could it be the very cause of carcinogenesis (Figure 4)?

---

**Figure 4: Warburg effect: a hen, or an egg?**

---
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